

# An elementary proof of the Paley–Wiener theorem in $C^m$ †

Y. YANG and T. QIAN\*

Faculty of Science and Technology, University of Macau, Taipa, Macau, China

Communicated by R. P. Gilbert

(Received in final form 15 November 2005)

We give an elementary proof of the Paley–Wiener theorem in several complex variables using Clifford algebra. Thanks to the extension of the exponential function  $e^{i(x,\underline{\xi})}$ , where  $\underline{x}$  is extended to  $\mathbf{R}^{m+1} = \mathbf{R}_1^m$ , and  $\underline{\xi}$  is extended to  $\mathbf{C}^m$ , the proof of the theorem in one complex variable based on analytic continuation is closely followed to give a proof for several complex variables. This shows that with the help of Clifford algebras multi-variable cases may be treated similarly as the single variable case.

Keywords: Fourier analysis; Paley-Wiener theorem; Exponential function; Clifford algebra

MSC 2000: 30G35

#### 1. Introduction

The classical Paley-Wiener theorem (see [1]) states that a necessary and sufficient condition for a square-integrable function f to be extendable to an entire function in the complex plane with an exponential type bound

$$|f(z)| \le Ce^{A|z|}$$

is that  $\operatorname{supp} \hat{f} \subset [-A, A]$ . Due to the fundamental role of the theorem in harmonic analysis and in complex analysis, people have been seeking for generalizations of the results to higher dimensional cases, including several complex variables [1–3] and several real variables [4,5]. The Clifford algebra setting of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , dealt with in [4], could be said to be the precise analogue of the classical case. The latter is further

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Email: fsttq@umac.mo

<sup>†</sup>Dedicated to Richard Delanghe on the occasion of his 65th birthday.

generalized to the case of co-dimension p in [5]. The present work, however, will concentrate on several complex variables.

We introduce some notations. Denote by K any symmetric body in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and let

$$K^* = \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid \text{ for all } x \in K, x \cdot y \le 1 \}$$

then  $K^*$  is called the polar set of K. Further, let

$$\varepsilon(K) = \Big\{ F \mid F \text{ is an entire function in } C^m, \text{ and for every } \varepsilon > 0,$$

$$\exists \text{ a constant } C_\varepsilon \text{ such that } |F(\underline{\zeta})| \le C_\varepsilon e^{(1+\varepsilon)\sup_{y \in K^*} |\underline{\zeta} \cdot y|} \Big\}.$$

The Paley-Wiener theorem in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  (see [1]) is stated as follows.

THEOREM 1.1 Suppose that  $F \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$ . Then F is the Fourier transform of a function vanishing outside a symmetric body K if and only if F is the restriction to  $\mathbb{R}^m$  of a function in  $\varepsilon(K)$ .

Note that, if we take K to be the ball with radius A centered at the origin, denoted by B(0,A), then  $K^* = K$ , and the inequality in the definition of  $\varepsilon(K)$  reduces to  $|F(\underline{\zeta})| \leq C_{\varepsilon} e^{(1+\varepsilon)|\underline{\zeta}|}$ , where  $|\underline{\zeta}| = \sqrt{|\underline{\zeta}_1|^2 + \cdots + |\underline{\zeta}_m|^2}$ . In that case, we further have

$$F(\underline{\zeta}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^m} \int_{B(0,A)} e^{i\langle \underline{x},\underline{\zeta} \rangle} \hat{F}(\underline{x}) d\underline{x} \quad \text{for any } \underline{\zeta} \in \mathbb{C}^m.$$

This particular case turns out to be essential in applications.

In [2] a theorem of the same spirit is proved in tensor form. The inequality in the class  $\varepsilon(K)$  is replaced by

$$|F(z)| \le c_{\varepsilon} \exp[(b_1 + \varepsilon)|z_1| + \dots + (b_m + \varepsilon)|z_m|]$$

and the ball B(0, A), in which the Fourier transform of F is supported, is replaced by the box  $G_b = \{|z_1| \le b_1, \dots, |z_m| \le b_m\}$ , where  $b_i > 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .

The proofs of the classical Paley-Wiener theorem may be classified into two categories. The first type uses a Phragmén-Lindelöf type result (see [1]), while the other is based on holomorphic continuation of the Laplace transforms of the entire function in the assumption of the theorem (see [6,7]). The Laplace transforms are defined for all directions in the complex plane whose existences are guaranteed by the exponentialtype inequality assumed in the theorem. To the author's knowledge none of those proofs have direct generalizations to several complex variables. For several complex variables the existence of any Phragmén-Lindelöf type result is in question. The usual proofs of the Paley-Wiener theorem for several complex variables are based on the case of one complex variable [1,2]. The present study intends to show that the second type of proof [6,7], based on holomorphic continuation, may be adapted to the case of several complex variables, where holomorphic continuation should be replaced by monogenic continuation in the Clifford analysis setting. This new proof, as a direct generalization of the case of one complex variable, strengthens the philosophy that Clifford algebra enables one to treat multi-variables similarly as a single variable.

It is to be mentioned that, recently, Masanori Suwa and Kunio Yoshino proved a new type of Paley-Wiener theorem for several complex variables, involving hyperfunctions supported by convex compact sets in  $\mathbb{C}^m$  [8]. The hyperfunctions, as a special type of linear forms on entire functions in  $\mathbb{C}^m$ , form the replacement of the Fourier transforms with compact support of square-integrable functions in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , and the Fourier-Laplace transforms of the representations of the linear forms on dilated heat kernels are the replacement of the entire functions. The proof uses heat kernel estimates (see [3]).

More precisely, let K be a convex and compact set in  $\mathbb{C}^m$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{A}$  the space of entire functions in  $\mathbb{C}^m$  and by  $\mathcal{A}'(K)$  the space of linear forms  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  carried by K, that is, for every neighborhood  $\omega$  of K,

$$|\mu(\varphi)| \le C_{\omega} \sup_{\omega} |\varphi|, \qquad \varphi \in \mathcal{A}.$$

The elements of  $\mathcal{A}'(K)$  are called hyperfunctions supported by K. The Fourier–Laplace transform of  $\mu \in \mathcal{A}'(K)$  is denoted by

$$\tilde{\mu}(\underline{\zeta}) = \lim_{t \to 0+} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{m/2}} \langle \mu_{x,t}, e^{-i\underline{\zeta}x} \rangle,$$

where

$$\mu_{x,t} = \langle \mu_z, E(x-z,t) \rangle, \qquad E(z,t) = (4\pi t)^{-(n/2)} e^{-|z|^2/4t}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^m.$$

Then the Paley-Wiener theorem for hyperfunctions reads

THEOREM 1.2 Let K be a convex compact set in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and let  $\mu \in \mathcal{A}'(K)$ . Then  $\tilde{\mu}(\underline{\zeta})$  is an entire function and for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a constant  $C_{\varepsilon} \geq 0$  such that

$$|\tilde{\mu}(\zeta)| \le C_{\varepsilon} e^{h_{\kappa}(\eta) + \varepsilon |\underline{\zeta}|}, \qquad \zeta = \xi + i\eta \in \mathbb{C}^m$$

where  $h_K(\eta) = \sup_{x \in K} \langle x, \eta \rangle$ . Conversely, if  $F(\underline{\zeta})$  is an entire function satisfying the above inequality, then there exists a unique  $\mu \in \mathcal{A}'(K)$  such that  $F(\zeta) = \tilde{\mu}(\zeta)$ .

### 2. Preliminaries

For more details on the basic concepts and notations recalled in this section, we refer to [9,10].

Let  $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_m$  be basis elements satisfying  $\mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j + \mathbf{e}_j \mathbf{e}_i = -2\delta_{ij}$ , where  $\delta_{ij} = 1$  if i = j and  $\delta_{ij} = 0$  if  $i \neq j$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Let

$$\mathbf{R}^m = \{ \underline{x} = x_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + x_m \mathbf{e}_m \mid x_j \in \mathbf{R}, j = 1, 2, \dots, m \}$$

be identified with the usual Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , and denote

$$\mathbf{R}_1^m = \{ x_0 + \underline{x} \mid x_0 \in \mathbf{R}, \ \underline{x} \in \mathbf{R}^m \}.$$

Let

$$\mathbf{C}^m = \{ \zeta = \xi + i\eta \mid \xi, \eta \in \mathbf{R}^m \}$$

So, if  $\underline{\zeta} \in \mathbb{C}^m$ , then  $\underline{\zeta} = \sum_{j=1}^m \zeta_j \mathbf{e}_j$ , where  $\zeta_j = \xi_j + i\eta_j$ . The space  $\mathbb{C}^m$  is identified with the space of m complex variables in the usual setting. It follows that

$$\zeta^2 = -|\zeta|_*^2$$

where

$$|\underline{\zeta}|_*^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \zeta_j^2 = |\underline{\xi}|^2 - |\underline{\eta}|^2 + 2i\langle\underline{\xi},\underline{\eta}\rangle. \tag{1}$$

Elements in  $\mathbf{R}_1^m$  are called vectors, and those in  $\mathbf{R}^m$  are called pure vectors. The real (or complex) Clifford algebra generated by  $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_m$ , and denoted by  $\mathbf{R}^{(m)}$  (or  $\mathbf{C}^{(m)}$ ), is the associative algebra generated by  $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_m$  over the real (or complex) field  $\mathbf{R}$  (or  $\mathbf{C}$ ). A general element in  $\mathbf{R}^{(m)}$ , therefore, is of the form  $x = \sum_S x_S \mathbf{e}_S$ , where  $\mathbf{e}_0 = \mathbf{e}_0$ , and  $\mathbf{e}_S = \mathbf{e}_{i_1} \mathbf{e}_{i_2}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{i_l}$ , where  $S = \emptyset$  or S runs over all the ordered subsets of  $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , namely

$$S = \langle j_1, \dots, j_l \rangle, \quad 1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_l \le m, \quad 1 \le l \le m.$$

The natural inner product between  $x = \sum_{S} x_{S} \mathbf{e}_{S}$  and  $y = \sum_{S} y_{S} \mathbf{e}_{S}$  in  $\mathbf{C}^{(m)}$ , denoted by  $\langle x, y \rangle$ , is the complex number  $\sum_{S} x_{S} y_{S}$ . The norm of  $x \in \mathbf{R}^{(m)}$  associated with this inner product is

$$|x| = \langle x, x \rangle^{1/2} = \left(\sum_{S} |x_{S}|^{2}\right)^{1/2}.$$

The Clifford conjugate of  $x_0 + \underline{x}$  is  $\overline{x} = x_0 - \underline{x}$ . For any non-zero vector x we have

$$x^{-1} = \frac{\overline{x}}{|x|^2}.$$

The unit sphere  $\{x \in \mathbf{R}_1^m : |x| = 1\}$  is denoted by  $\mathbf{S}^m$ . We use B(x, r) for the open ball in  $\mathbf{R}_1^m$  centered at x with radius r.

In what follows we study functions defined in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  or  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and taking values in  $\mathbb{C}^m$ . Therefore, they are of the form  $f(x) = \sum_S f_S(x) \mathbf{e}_S$ , where  $f_S$  are complex-valued functions. We involve the Cauchy–Riemann operator

$$D = D_0 + D$$

where  $D_0 = \partial/\partial x_0$  and  $\underline{D} = (\partial/\partial x_1)\mathbf{e}_1 + \cdots + (\partial/\partial x_m)\mathbf{e}_m$  denotes the Dirac operator. For the sake of symmetry, we write  $D_0 = (\partial/\partial x_0) = (\partial/\partial x_0)\mathbf{e}_0$ . We define the "left" and "right" roles of the operator D by

$$Df = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{S} \frac{\partial f_{S}}{\partial x_{i}} \mathbf{e}_{i} \mathbf{e}_{S}$$

and

$$fD = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{S} \frac{\partial f_{S}}{\partial x_{i}} \mathbf{e}_{S} \mathbf{e}_{i}.$$

If Df = 0 or fD = 0, then we say that f is left-monogenic or right-monogenic in the corresponding domain (open and connected). If f is both left- and right-monogenic, then we say that f is monogenic. We recall the existence of Cauchy's theorem and Cauchy's formula for left- and right-monogenic functions.

The Fourier transform in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  is defined by

$$\hat{f}(\underline{\xi}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} e^{-i\langle \underline{x},\underline{\xi}\rangle} f(\underline{x}) d\underline{x}$$

and the inverse Fourier transform is

$$\tilde{g}(\underline{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^m} \int_{\mathbf{R}^m} e^{i\langle \underline{x}, \underline{\xi} \rangle} g(\underline{\xi}) d\underline{\xi}. \tag{2}$$

In order to extend  $\underline{x}$  in (2) to  $x \in \mathbb{R}_1^m$  so that  $\tilde{g}(x)$  becomes monogenic of a certain type we first need to extend the exponential function  $e^{i(\underline{x},\underline{\xi})}$ . Denote, for  $x = x_0 e_0 + \underline{x}$ ,

$$e(x,\xi) = e^{i\langle \underline{x},\underline{\xi}\rangle} e^{-x_0|\underline{\xi}|} \chi_+(\xi) + e^{i\langle \underline{x},\underline{\xi}\rangle} e^{x_0|\underline{\xi}|} \chi_-(\xi)$$

where

$$\chi_{\pm}(\underline{\xi}) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 \pm i \frac{\underline{\xi}}{|\xi|} \right).$$

It is easy to verify that  $\chi_{\pm}$  satisfies the properties of a projection operator

$$\chi_{-}\chi_{+} = \chi_{+}\chi_{-} = 0, \quad \chi_{\pm}^{2} = \chi_{\pm}, \quad \chi_{+} + \chi_{-} = 1.$$

The function  $e(x, \underline{\xi})$  is an extension of  $e(\underline{x}, \underline{\xi}) = e^{i(\underline{x},\underline{\xi})}$  onto  $\mathbf{R}_1^m \times \mathbf{R}^m$ . It is easy to verify that for any fixed  $\underline{\xi}$ , the function  $e(x,\underline{\xi})$  is monogenic in  $x \in \mathbf{R}_1^m$ . Extensions of this kind can be first found in Sommen's work [3]. McIntosh further extended  $\underline{\xi} \in \mathbf{R}^m$  to  $\underline{\xi} + i\underline{\eta} \in \mathbf{C}^m$ : for  $x = x_0 + \underline{x}$ ,  $\underline{\zeta} = \underline{\xi} + i\underline{\eta}$ ,

$$\begin{cases} e_{+}(x,\underline{\zeta}) = e^{i\langle\underline{x},\underline{\zeta}\rangle} e^{-x_{0}|\underline{\zeta}|_{*}} \chi_{+}(\underline{\zeta}) \\ e_{-}(x,\underline{\zeta}) = e^{i\langle\underline{x},\underline{\zeta}\rangle} e^{x_{0}|\underline{\zeta}|_{*}} \chi_{-}(\underline{\zeta}) \\ e(x,\underline{\zeta}) = e_{+}(x,\underline{\zeta}) + e_{-}(x,\underline{\zeta}) = e^{i\langle\underline{x},\underline{\zeta}\rangle} e^{-x_{0}\{i\underline{\zeta}\}} \end{cases}$$

where

$$\chi_{\pm}(\underline{\zeta}) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 \pm \frac{i\underline{\zeta}}{|\underline{\zeta}|_*} \right)$$

and  $|\underline{\zeta}|_*$  is the square root of the complex number  $|\underline{\zeta}|_*^2$  in (1) with  $\text{Re}|\underline{\zeta}|_* > 0$  (see [11,12]). Note that  $|\zeta|_*$  is a complex number.

It is easy to verify that with the extended domain of  $\chi_{\pm}$  there still holds

$$\chi_{+}\chi_{-} = \chi_{-}\chi_{+} = 0, \qquad \chi_{\pm}^{2} = \chi_{\pm}, \qquad \chi_{+} + \chi_{-} = 1.$$

The function  $e(x, \underline{\zeta})$  is an extension of  $e(\underline{x}, \underline{\xi}) = e^{i(\underline{x},\underline{\xi})}$  onto  $\mathbf{R}_1^m \times \mathbf{C}^m$ . It is easy to verify that  $e_+(x,\underline{\zeta}), e_-(x,\underline{\zeta})$  and  $e(x,\underline{\zeta})$  are monogenic in  $x \in \mathbf{R}_1^m$ , and holomorphic in  $\underline{\zeta} \in \mathbf{C}^m$ . As a piece of art they have been proved to be crucial to harmonic analysis in  $\overline{\mathbf{R}}^m$ .

It is easy to verify that  $e(\underline{x}, \zeta) = e^{i\langle \underline{x}, \underline{\zeta} \rangle}$  and

$$|e(\underline{x},\underline{\zeta})| \le e^{|\underline{x}||\underline{\eta}|} \tag{3}$$

where  $\zeta = \xi + i\eta$ .

It is useful to introduce the quantity  $|\underline{\zeta}|^* = \sqrt{Re^2|\underline{\zeta}|_* + |\underline{\eta}|^2}$ . Then there holds  $|\underline{\zeta}|^* = |\underline{\xi}|$  if  $\underline{\eta} = 0$  and  $|\underline{\zeta}|^* = |\underline{\eta}|$  if  $\underline{\xi} = 0$ .

Lemma 2.1 The non-negative function  $|\cdot|^*$ :  $\mathbb{C}^m \to [0, \infty)$  satisfies the relation  $|\underline{\zeta}|^* \leq |\underline{\zeta}|$ , where the equality holds if and only if  $\underline{\eta} = t\underline{\xi}$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Proof The inequality is equivalent to

$$\operatorname{Re}^2 |\xi|_* \le |\xi|^2$$
.

Let  $\underline{\xi} = \sum_{1}^{m} x_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i}$ ,  $\underline{\eta} = \sum_{1}^{m} y_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i}$ . Denote  $X = \text{Re}|\underline{\zeta}|_{*}$ ,  $Y = \text{Im}|\underline{\zeta}|_{*}$ . Through simple computations we are reduced to showing

$$X^2 \le \sum_{k=1}^{m} x_k^2 \tag{4}$$

under the conditions

$$X^{2} - Y^{2} = \sum_{1}^{m} x_{k}^{2} - \sum_{1}^{m} y_{k}^{2}$$
 and  $XY = \sum_{1}^{m} x_{k} y_{k}$ . (5)

Using Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality the second condition of (5) implies that

$$X^2 Y^2 \le \sum_{1}^{m} x_k^2 \sum_{1}^{m} y_k^2. \tag{6}$$

If  $X^2 = \sum_{1}^{m} x_k^2$ , then the first condition in (5) implies  $Y^2 = \sum_{1}^{m} y_k^2$ , and (6) will hold as an equality. This concludes that  $\underline{\xi}$  and  $\underline{\eta}$  are proportional, and the second equality in (5) also holds. Conversely, if  $\underline{\xi}$  and  $\underline{\eta}$  are proportional, then the conditions in (5) hold, and  $X^2 = \sum_{1}^{m} x_k^2$ . Now consider a positive increment  $X^2 = \sum_{1}^{m} x_k^2 + \delta$ ,  $\delta > 0$ . In that case the first condition of (5) implies that  $Y^2$  has the same increment and thus the inequality (6) cannot hold. The proof is complete.

In the particular case m=1 we have  $\underline{\zeta} = (\xi + i\eta)\mathbf{e}_1$ . Note that  $|\underline{\zeta}|_* = \operatorname{sgn}(\xi)(\xi + i\eta)$ . By taking  $\mathbf{e}_1 = -i$ , we have

$$e(x,\underline{\zeta}) = e^{-i(x_0 + x_1 \mathbf{e}_1)((\xi + i\eta)\mathbf{e}_1)} \qquad \text{(the Clifford multiple form)}$$

$$= e^{i(x_1 + ix_0)(\xi + i\eta)} \qquad \text{(the usual complex variable form)}$$

$$= e^{ix_1(\xi + i\eta)}e^{-x_0(\xi + i\eta)}$$

$$= e^{ix_1(\xi + i\eta)}e^{-x_0|\underline{\zeta}|_*}\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\xi + i\eta}{2|\underline{\zeta}|_*}\right) + e^{-ix_1(\xi + i\eta)}e^{-x_0|\underline{\zeta}|_*}\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\xi + i\eta}{2|\underline{\zeta}|_*}\right)$$

$$= e^{i\langle\underline{x},\eta\rangle}e^{-x_0|\underline{\zeta}|_*}\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i\underline{\zeta}}{2|\underline{\zeta}|_*}\right) + e^{i\langle\underline{x},\eta\rangle}e^{x_0|\underline{\zeta}|_*}\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{i\underline{\zeta}}{2|\underline{\zeta}|_*}\right)$$

$$= e^{i\langle\underline{x},\eta\rangle}e^{-x_0|\underline{\zeta}|_*}\chi_+(\underline{\zeta}) + e^{i\langle\underline{x},\eta\rangle}e^{x_0|\underline{\zeta}|_*}\chi_-(\underline{\zeta})$$

$$= e_+(x,\underline{\zeta}) + e_-(x,\underline{\zeta}).$$

For the case m=1 we have  $|\zeta|^* = |\zeta| = ||\zeta|_*|$ .

## 3. The Paley-Wiener theorem in Cm

The following result may be found in [11].

LEMMA 3.1 Let  $\underline{\zeta} = \underline{\xi} + i\underline{\eta} \in \mathbb{C}^m$ , and assume that  $|\underline{\xi}| \neq 0$ . Let

$$\theta = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{|\underline{\eta}|}{|\operatorname{Re}|\underline{\zeta}|_*}\right) \in \left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right).$$

Then

$$|\chi_{\pm}(\underline{\zeta})| \leq \frac{\sec \theta}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

For the case m=1 the norm  $|\chi_{\pm}(\zeta)| \equiv 1/\sqrt{2}$ .

Our Paley-Wiener theorem in  $\mathbb{C}^m$  is stated as follows.

Theorem 3.2 Suppose that  $F \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$  and let A be a positive real number. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:

(i)  $F(\underline{\xi})$  can be extended to a holomorphic function  $f(\underline{\zeta})$  and

$$|f(\zeta)| \le ce^{A|\underline{\zeta}|^*} \quad \text{for any } \underline{\zeta} = \underline{\xi} + i\underline{\eta},\tag{7}$$

(ii) supp( $\hat{F}$ )  $\subset B(0, A)$ .

Moreover, if one of the above conditions holds, then

$$f(\underline{\zeta}) = \int_{B(0,A)} e(\underline{x},\underline{\zeta}) \hat{F}(\underline{x}) d\underline{x} \quad \text{for any } \underline{\zeta} \in \mathbb{C}^m.$$
 (8)

*Proof* (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): If supp( $\hat{F}$ )  $\subset$  B(0, A), then

$$F(\underline{\xi}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} e(\underline{x}, \underline{\xi}) \hat{F}(\underline{x}) d\underline{x} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^m} \int_{B(0,A)} e(\underline{x}, \underline{\xi}) \hat{F}(\underline{x}) d\underline{x}.$$

Put

$$f(\underline{\zeta}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^m} \int_{B(0,A)} e(\underline{x},\underline{\zeta}) \hat{F}(\underline{x}) d\underline{x}, \qquad \underline{\zeta} = \underline{\xi} + i\underline{\eta}.$$

Clearly, f is an entire function in  $\mathbb{C}^m$  extending F, and, due to estimate (3),

$$|f(\underline{\zeta})| \le ce^{A|\underline{\eta}|} \le ce^{A|\underline{\zeta}|^*}$$
 for any  $\underline{\zeta} = \underline{\xi} + i\underline{\eta}$ .

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Put  $F_{\varepsilon}(\underline{\xi}) = F(\underline{\xi})e^{-\varepsilon|\underline{\xi}|}$ , where  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\underline{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . We will show that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{\mathbf{R}^m} F_{\varepsilon}(\underline{\xi}) e^{i\langle \underline{x},\underline{\xi} \rangle} d\underline{\xi} = 0, \quad |\underline{x}| > A.$$
 (9)

Now, assume temporarily that (9) holds. Since  $||F_{\varepsilon} - F||_2 \to 0$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ , the Plancherel theorem implies that  $||\hat{F}_{\varepsilon} - \hat{F}||_2 \to 0$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ . By taking a suitable subsequence  $\epsilon_k \to 0^+$  we have pointwise convergence, and therefore (9) implies  $\hat{F}(\underline{x}) = 0$  for  $|\underline{x}| > A$ , that is,  $\hat{F}$  vanishes outside B(0, A).

Hence, we are reduced to proving (9). For each  $\mathbf{n} = n_0 + \underline{n} \in \mathbf{S}^m$ , let  $A_{\mathbf{n}}$  be the half-space in  $\mathbf{R}_1^m$  defined by

$$A_{\mathbf{n}} = \{ x = x_0 + \underline{x} \in \mathbf{R}_1^m, \langle x, \mathbf{n} \rangle > A \}$$

and let  $n(\mathbb{C}^m)$  be the associated surface in  $\mathbb{C}^m$ , defined by

$$\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{C}^m) = \begin{cases} \{\underline{\zeta} = \underline{\xi} + i\underline{\eta} \in \mathbf{C}^m, \ \underline{\xi} \neq 0, \ |n_0|\underline{\eta} = \mathrm{Re}|\underline{\zeta}|_{*}\underline{\eta}\}, \ n_0 \neq 0 \\ \{\underline{\zeta} = \underline{\xi} + i\underline{\eta} \in \mathbf{C}^m, \ \mathrm{Re}|\underline{\zeta}|_{*} = 0, \ \underline{\eta} = |\underline{\eta}|\underline{n}\}, \ n_0 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that the surfaces stretch to infinity. Since  $\underline{\zeta} \in \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{C}^m)$  implies  $t\underline{\zeta} \in \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{C}^m)$  for t > 0, they are, in fact, cones in  $\mathbf{C}^m$ . For m = 1 the surfaces  $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{C}^m)$  reduce to the boundary of the cone with axis  $\mathbf{e}_1$  and opening angle  $2\theta$ , where  $\theta = \tan^{-1}|n_0|/|\underline{n}|$ . The definition of  $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{C}^m)$  shows that opposite values of  $n_0$  correspond to the same surface. On a surface  $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{C}^m)$  the quantities  $\mathrm{Re}|\underline{\zeta}|_*$  and  $|\underline{\eta}|$  are proportional and the vector  $\underline{n}$  indicates the direction (or axis) of the cone.  $n_0 = 0$  is a limit case: for m = 1 it is a ray.

For  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{S}^m$ , put

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{n}}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^m} \int_{\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{C}^m)} f(\underline{\zeta}) [e_+(x,\underline{\zeta}) \chi_{[n_0]} - e_-(x,\underline{\zeta}) \chi_{[-n_0]}] d\underline{\zeta}$$

where

$$\chi_{[n_0]} = \begin{cases} 1, & n_0 \ge 0 \\ 0, & n_0 < 0. \end{cases}$$

We now show that  $\Phi_n(x)$  is right-monogenic in  $A_n$ .

In fact, when  $\mathbf{n} = n_0 + \underline{n} \in \mathbf{S}^m$ ,  $n_0 > 0$ , we have

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{n}}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^m} \int_{\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{C}^m)} f(\underline{\zeta}) e_+(x,\underline{\zeta}) d\underline{\zeta}.$$
 (10)

For any  $x \in A_n$ ,  $\underline{\zeta} = \underline{\xi} + i\underline{\eta} \in \mathbf{n}(\mathbb{C}^m)$ , we have  $|\underline{\eta}|/\mathrm{Re}|\underline{\zeta}|_* = |\underline{n}|/n_0$ . Since  $|\chi_+(\underline{\zeta})| \le \sec \theta/\sqrt{2} = 1/\sqrt{2}n_0$  (from Lemma 2.1), we have

$$|e_{+}(x,\underline{\zeta})| = |e^{i\langle\underline{x},\underline{\zeta}\rangle}e^{-x_{0}|\underline{\zeta}|_{*}}\chi_{+}(\underline{\zeta})|$$

$$\leq c_{n_{0}}e^{-\langle\underline{x},\underline{\eta}\rangle-x_{0}\operatorname{Re}|\underline{\zeta}|_{*}}$$

$$= c_{n_{0}}e^{-\langle\underline{x},\underline{\eta}\rangle+x_{0}n_{0}}\operatorname{Re}|\underline{\zeta}|_{*}/n_{0}$$

$$= c_{n_{0}}e^{-\langle\underline{x},\underline{\eta}\rangle+\underline{\zeta}|_{*}/n_{0}}$$

$$= c_{n_{0}}e^{-\langle\underline{x},\underline{\eta}\rangle|\underline{\zeta}|_{*}}$$

$$\leq c_{n_{0}}e^{-\langle\underline{x},\underline{\eta}\rangle|\underline{\zeta}|_{*}}$$

$$\leq c_{n_{0}}e^{-(A+\delta))|\underline{\zeta}|_{*}}$$

where  $\delta > 0$ ,  $c_{n_0} = 1/\sqrt{2}n_0$ . Due to assumption (7) the integrand in (10) decays exponentially, which allows us to differentiate under the integral sign. The monogeneity of  $e_+$  then implies the one of  $\Phi_n$ . This concludes that  $\Phi_n(x)$  is right-monogenic in  $A_n$  when  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{S}^m$ ,  $n_0 > 0$ . The proof of  $\Phi_n(x)$  being right-monogenic in  $A_n$  for  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{S}^m$  and  $n_0 < 0$  is proved similarly.

When  $\mathbf{n} = \underline{n} \in \mathbf{R}^m$ ,  $|\underline{n}| = 1$ ,

$$\Phi_{\underline{n}}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^m} \int_{\underline{n}(\mathbf{C}^m)} f(\underline{\zeta}) [e_+(x,\underline{\zeta}) - e_-(x,\underline{\zeta})] d\underline{\zeta}.$$

For  $\zeta \in \underline{n}(\mathbb{C}^m)$ ,  $\text{Re}|\zeta|_* = 0$ ,  $x \in A_n$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |e_{+}(x,\underline{\zeta})| &= |e_{-}(x,\underline{\zeta})| \le ce^{-\langle \underline{x},\underline{\eta} \rangle} = ce^{-\langle \underline{x},|\underline{\eta}|\underline{\eta} \rangle} \\ &= ce^{-\{\langle \underline{x},\underline{\eta} \rangle\}|\underline{\eta}|} = ce^{-\langle x,\mathbf{n} \rangle|\underline{\eta}|} \\ &= ce^{-\langle x,\mathbf{n} \rangle|\sqrt{\operatorname{Re}^{2}|\underline{\zeta}|_{*} + |\underline{\eta}|^{2}}|} \\ &\le ce^{-(A+\delta)|\underline{\zeta}|^{*}} \end{aligned}$$

for some  $\delta > 0$ . This implies that  $\Phi_{\mathbf{n}}(x)$  is right-monogenic in  $A_{\mathbf{n}}$  when  $\mathbf{n} = \underline{n} \in \mathbf{R}^m$ ,  $|\underline{n}| = 1$ .

For the particular cases  $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{e}_0$  and  $\mathbf{n} = -\mathbf{e}_0$ , we have

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{e}_0}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^m} f(\underline{\xi}) e_+(x,\underline{\xi}) d\underline{\xi}, \quad x_0 > 0$$

and

$$\Phi_{-\mathbf{e}_0}(x) = -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^m} f(\underline{\xi}) e_-(x,\underline{\xi}) d\underline{\xi}, \quad x_0 < 0.$$

 $\Phi_{\mathbf{e}_0}(x)$  and  $\Phi_{-\mathbf{e}_0}(x)$  are right-monogenic in the extended regions, the respective half planes, because  $F \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^m)$ .

It is easy to verify that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^m} f_{\varepsilon}(\underline{\xi}) e^{i\langle \underline{x},\underline{\xi}\rangle} d\underline{\xi} = \Phi_{\mathbf{e}_0}(\varepsilon + \underline{x}) - \Phi_{-\mathbf{e}_0}(-\varepsilon + \underline{x}). \tag{11}$$

We thus have to show that the right-hand side of (11) tends to 0 as  $\varepsilon \to 0^+$  for  $|\underline{x}| > A$ . We show that there is a unique right-monogenic function  $\Phi$  for |x| > A which coincides with all the functions  $\Phi_n$  for  $A_n$ . Temporarily accepting this, we have, for  $|\underline{x}| > A$ ,

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \Phi_{\mathbf{e}_0}(\epsilon + \underline{x}) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \Phi(\epsilon + \underline{x}) \\ &\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \Phi_{-\mathbf{e}_0}(\epsilon + \underline{x}) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \Phi(-\epsilon + \underline{x}) \end{split}$$

and hence

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \Phi(\varepsilon + \underline{x}) - \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \Phi(-\varepsilon + \underline{x}) = \Phi(\underline{x}) - \Phi(\underline{x}) = 0.$$

Thus

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f_{\varepsilon}(\underline{\xi}) e^{i\langle \underline{x},\underline{\xi} \rangle} d\underline{\xi} = 0, \quad |\underline{x}| > A.$$

We now prove the existence of the unique right-monogenic continuation by showing that any two of the functions  $\Phi_n$  agree in an open set of the intersection of their domains. If  $\mathbf{n}^1, \mathbf{n}^2 \in \mathbf{S}^m$ , and  $\angle(\mathbf{n}^1, \mathbf{n}^2) \le \pi/2$ , then the set of the inner points of  $A_{\mathbf{n}^1} \cap A_{\mathbf{n}^2}$  is non-empty. Let x be such an inner point. Consider

$$\int_{\Gamma_{-}} f(\underline{\zeta})[e_{+}(x,\underline{\zeta})\chi_{[n_{0}]} - e_{-}(x,\underline{\zeta})\chi_{[-n_{0}]}]d\underline{\zeta}$$

where  $\Gamma_r$  is the part of the sphere  $\{|\underline{\zeta}| = r|\underline{\zeta} \in \mathbf{C}^m\}$  in  $\mathbf{C}^m$  joining the two surfaces  $\mathbf{n}^1(\mathbf{C}^m)$  and  $\mathbf{n}^2(\mathbf{C}^m)$ , and  $\mathbf{n}$  is any point on the geodesics of  $\mathbf{S}^m$  joining  $\mathbf{n}^1$  and  $\mathbf{n}^2$ . As  $r \to \infty$ , the integrand decays exponentially, while the surface area of  $\Gamma_r$  grows at most polynomially. We therefore conclude that the integral tends to zero as  $r \to \infty$ . Using Cauchy's theorem for the surfaces in  $\mathbf{C}^m$  we conclude that  $\Phi_{\mathbf{n}^1}(x) = \Phi_{\mathbf{n}^2}(x)$ . This shows that all  $\Phi_{\mathbf{n}}$  extend right-monogenically to each other, to become a unique right-monogenic function in the union of their domains. The union contains the set |x| > A. The proof is complete.

An immediate consequence of this theorem is

COROLLARY 3.3. Assume that  $F \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^m)$  and let A be a positive real number. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $F(\underline{\xi})$  can be extended to an entire function  $f(\underline{\zeta})$  and  $|f(\underline{\zeta})| \le ce^{A|\underline{\eta}|}$ , for any  $\underline{\zeta} = \underline{\xi} + i\eta$ .
- (ii)  $supp(\hat{F}) \subset B(0, A)$ .

Moreover, if one of the above conditions holds, we have

$$f(\underline{\zeta}) = \int_{B(0,A)} e(\underline{x},\underline{\zeta}) \hat{F}(\underline{x}) d\underline{x} \quad \text{for any } \underline{\zeta} \in \mathbb{C}^m.$$

**Proof** In the part (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) of the proof of Theorem 1.1, we have obtained that the condition (ii) implies the stronger inequality  $|f(\zeta)| \le ce^{A|\eta|}$ .

By combining the particular case K = B(0, A) of Theorem 1.1 (see remark after the statement of the theorem), we further have:

COROLLARY 3.4 Assume that  $F \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$  and let A be a positive real number. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $F(\underline{\xi})$  can be extended to an entire function  $f(\underline{\zeta})$ , and  $|f(\underline{\zeta})| \le ce^{A|\underline{\zeta}|}$ , for any  $\zeta = \xi + i\eta$ .
- (ii)  $F(\underline{\xi})$  can be extended to an entire function  $f(\underline{\zeta})$ , and  $|f(\underline{\zeta})| \le ce^{A|\underline{\zeta}|^*}$ , for any  $\zeta = \xi + i\eta$ .
- (iii)  $F(\underline{\xi})$  can be extended to an entire function  $f(\underline{\zeta})$ , and  $|f(\underline{\zeta})| \le ce^{A|\underline{\eta}|}$ , for any  $\zeta = \xi + i\eta$ .
- $\underline{\zeta} = \underline{\xi} + i\underline{\eta}.$ (iv) supp $(\hat{F}) \subset B(0, A)$ .

#### References

- Stein, E. and Weiss, G., 1987, Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces (Princeton: Princeton University Press, NJ).
- [2] Gel'fand, I.M. and Shilow, G.E., 1968, Generalized Functions (New York and London: Academic Press).
- [3] Sommen, F., 1982, Some connections between Clifford analysis and complex analysis. Complex Variables: Theory and Applications, 1, 97–118.
- [4] Kou, K. and Qian, T., 2002, The Paley-Wiener theorem in R<sup>n</sup> with the Clifford analysis setting. Journal of Functional Analysis, 189, 227-241.
- [5] Yang, Y., Qian, T. and Sommen, F., Co-dimension p Paley-Wiener theorems (Preprint).
- [6] Rudin, W., 1987, Real and Complex Analysis, 3rd Edn (New York: McGRAW-HILL International Editions), pp. 375–376.
- [7] Timan, A., 1960, Theory of Approximation of Functions of a Real Variable (Moscow: Fizmatgiz); English Translation, 1963, International Series of Monographs on Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 34 (New York: Macmillan), pp. 582–592.
- [8] Suwa, M. and Yoshino, K., 2003, A proof of Paley-Wiener Theorem for hyperfunctions with a convex compact support by the Heat Kernel Method, *Tokyo Journal of Mathematics*, 27, 35-40.
- [9] Brackx, F., Delanghe, R. and Sommen, F., 1982, Clifford Analysis, Research Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 76 (Boston/London/Melbourne: Pitman).
- [10] Delanghe, R., Sommen, F. and Soucek, V., 1992, Clifford Algebra and Spinor-Valued Functions, Vol. 53 (Dordrecht, Boston, London: Kluwer Academic Publishers).
- [11] Li, C., Mcintosh, A. and Qian, T., 1994, Clifford algebras, Fourier transforms, and singular convolution operators on Lipschitz surfaces. Revista Matematica Iberoamericana, 10, 665–721.
- [12] McIntosh, A., 1996, Clifford algebras, Fourier theory, singular integrals, and harmonic functions on Lipschitz domains. In: J. Ryan (Ed.), Clifford Algebras in Analysis and Related Topics, Studies in Advanced Mathematics Series (Boca Raton: CRC Press), pp. 33–87.

Copyright of Complex Variables & Elliptic Equations is the property of Taylor & Francis Ltd and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listsery without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.