

REPRODUCING KERNEL SPARSE REPRESENTATIONS IN RELATION TO OPERATOR EQUATIONS

TAO QIAN*

ABSTRACT. A linear operator in a Hilbert space defined through inner product against a kernel function naturally introduces a reproducing kernel Hilbert space structure over the range space. Such formulation, called \mathcal{H} - H_K formulation in this paper, possesses a built-in mechanism to solve some basic type problems in the formulation by using the basis method, that include identification of the range space, the inversion problem, and the Moore-Penrose pseudo- (generalized) inversion problem. After a quick survey of the existing theory, the aim of the article is to establish connection between this formulation with sparse series representation, and in particular with one called pre-orthogonal adaptive Fourier decomposition (POAFD), the latter being one, most recent and well developed, with great efficiency and wide and deep connections with traditional analysis. Within the matching pursuit methodology the optimality of POAFD is theoretically guaranteed. In practice POAFD offers fast converging numerical solutions.

AMS Classification: 42A16; 42A20; 41A30; 30B99; 34K28; 35A35

Key words: Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space, Matching Pursuit, Inverse Problem, Moore-Penrose Pseudo-Inverse, Numerical Ordinary Differential Equations, Numerical Partial Differential Equations, Integral Equations

CONTENTS

1. Introduction to the \mathcal{H} - H_K formulation, the Basic Problems, and Basis solutions	1
2. POAFD: A Non-Basis Method for Sparse Representation	7
3. POAFD Type Sparse Solutions for Problems (i), (ii) and (iii)	9
3.1. POAFD Expansion for $F \in H_K$: the Solution of Problem (i)	9
3.2. The inversion Problem (ii)	10
3.3. The Moore-Penrose Pseudo-Inversion Problem (iii)	11
References	11

1. INTRODUCTION TO THE \mathcal{H} - H_K FORMULATION, THE BASIC PROBLEMS, AND BASIS SOLUTIONS

In a Hilbert space if the point-evaluation functional of any point is given by the inner product of the function with a function parameterized by the point, then we say that the Hilbert space is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space (RKHS), and the parameterized function is the (unique) reproducing kernel of the RKHS. We will start with a formulation of a linear operator in a general Hilbert space, and lead to a RKHS structure in the range

*Corresponding author.

Funded by The Science and Technology Development Fund, Macau SAR (File no. 0123/2018/A3).

space of the operator. This formulation may be found in a number of sources, and for instance, in [21]. The general Hilbert space is denoted \mathcal{H} with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$, and the linear operator is formulated with the inner product in the form of the Riesz representation Theorem, as follows. Let \mathbf{E} be an abstract set, usually with a topology. In our context \mathbf{E} is usually an open set of an Euclidean space, or an open set of a domain of one or several complex variables, where the elements of \mathbf{E} are treated as parameters. Associated with each $p \in \mathbf{E}$ there is an element $h_p \in \mathcal{H}$. A linear operator $L : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{E}}$ is defined as

$$(1.1) \quad Lf(p) \triangleq \langle f, h_p \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

where $\mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{E}}$ denotes the set of all functions from \mathbf{E} to the complex number field \mathbf{C} . Denote $F(p) = Lf(p)$. Let $N(L)$ be the null space of the operator L :

$$N(L) = \{f \in \mathcal{H} \mid L(f) = 0\}.$$

$N(L)$ is a closed set in \mathcal{H} . In fact, if $f_n, f \in \mathcal{H}$, $f_n \rightarrow f$ and $L(f_n) = 0$, then we have

$$|Lf(p)| = |\langle f - f_n, h_p \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| \leq \|f - f_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|h_p\|_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus $Lf = 0$ and $f \in N(L)$. As a consequence we have an orthogonal decomposition for the domain space

$$\mathcal{H} = N(L) \oplus N(L)^{\perp}.$$

Accordingly, each $f \in \mathcal{H}$ can be uniquely written as

$$f = f^- + f^+,$$

where $f^- \in N(L)$, $f^+ \in N(L)^{\perp}$. We also use the orthogonal projection notations and denote $P_{N(L)^{\perp}}f = f^+$ and $P_{N(L)}f = f^-$, where $P_{N(L)^{\perp}}$ and $P_{N(L)}$ denotes, respectively, the projections to the closed subspaces $N(L)^{\perp}$ and $N(L)$. Whenever $F = Lf$, we have $Lf = Lf^+$, and $\|f^+\| \leq \|f\|$. Any solution g for $Lg = F$ has the form $g = f^+ + h$, where $h \in N(L)$, and hence $\|f^+\| \leq \|g\|$. Let $R(L)$ denote the range of the operator L , that is

$$R(L) = \{F \mid \exists f \in \mathcal{H} \text{ such that } F = Lf\}.$$

The above particulars in relation to the orthogonal decomposition of the domain space show that for $F \in R(L)$ the solution f for the equation $Lf = F$ is unique under the minimum norm requirement, and the solution is identical with $P_{N(L)^{\perp}}f = f^+$.

We show that $R(L)$ may be equipped with an inner product under which it becomes a RKHS, denoted H_K , where K stands for the reproducing kernel. To do this the induced norm of $F = L(f) \in R(L)$ in the range space is defined

$$\|F\|_{H_K} \triangleq \|P_{N(L)^{\perp}}f\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

The polarization of the norm gives rise to an inner product in $R(L)$ denoted $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H_K}$. The function set $R(L)$ equipped with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H_K}$ is named as space H_K , called the *canonical range space* in relation to \mathcal{H} and $\{h_p\}_{p \in \mathbf{E}}$. In such way the new Hilbert space H_K is isometric with $N(L)^{\perp}$ through the mapping L . Now we show that $K(q, p)$, being defined as

$$K(q, p) = \langle h_q, h_p \rangle_{\mathcal{H}},$$

is the reproducing kernel of H_K . Alternatively we denote $K(q, p) = K_q(p)$. We first show that $h_p \in N(L)^{\perp}$ and thus $h_p = P_{N(L)^{\perp}}(h_p)$. For any fixed p in \mathbf{E} the relation $h_p \in N(L)^{\perp}$

is evidenced by the fact that for all $f^- \in N(L)$ the relation

$$0 = L(f^-)(p) = \langle f^-, h_p \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$$

holds. Now for $F \in H_K$, $P_{N(L)^\perp} f = f^+$, $Lf^+ = F$, $q \in E$, with the relation $K_q(p) = \langle h_q, h_p \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = L(h_q)(p)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F, K_q \rangle_{H_K} &= \langle Lf, L(h_q) \rangle_{H_K} \\ &= \langle P_{N(L)^\perp} f, P_{N(L)^\perp} h_q \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \langle f^+, h_q \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= L(f^+)(q) \\ &= F(q), \end{aligned}$$

reproducing the value of the function F at $q \in E$. In the sequel we will call the above formulation as \mathcal{H} - H_K formulation, and H_K the *canonical range space*.

This formulation is as if customized especially for the the complex Hardy spaces: a space having very fundamental impact to harmonic analysis, complex analysis, as well as to signal analysis. But it is not: the formulation is a very general and suitable for all integral, ordinary and partial differential operators defined in their respective Hilbert spaces (see [21]) in the form of the Riesz representation Theorem. Below we explain how the complex Hardy space of the unit disc is precisely an example for the \mathcal{H} - H_K formulation. In the case $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\partial\mathbf{D})$, where \mathbf{D} denotes the complex unit disc and $\partial\mathbf{D}$ means the boundary of \mathbf{D} , i.e., the unit circle. $L^2(\partial\mathbf{D})$ is facilitated with the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{L^2(\partial\mathbf{D})} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{it}) \overline{g}(e^{it}) dt$$

under which $L^2(\partial\mathbf{D})$ is a Hilbert space but itself is not a RKHS. In the case $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{D}$. For $p \in \mathbf{D}$,

$$h_p(e^{it}) = \frac{1}{1 - \overline{p}e^{it}} \in L^2(\partial\mathbf{D}).$$

The function h_p is the Szegő kernel of the context being the Cauchy kernel in the circle arc length measure. Naturally, for $f \in L^2(\partial\mathbf{D})$, $F(p) = \langle f, h_p \rangle_{L^2(\partial\mathbf{D})}$ is the Cauchy integral of the boundary data f over the unit circle. The range space H_K is identical with the complex Hardy space $H^2(\mathbf{D})$:

$$H^2(\mathbf{D}) = \{F : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \mid F \text{ is holomorphic and } \|F\|_{H^2(\mathbf{D})}^2 = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_0^{2\pi} |F(re^{it})|^2 < \infty\}.$$

A functions $F(z)$ being in this space is equivalent with the condition that $F(z)$ has the Taylor series expansion $F(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k$ with $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |c_k|^2 < \infty$. In both the set theoretic and the Hilbert space inner product and norm sense $H^2(\mathbf{D}) = H_K$, where functions F in H_K is equipped with the norm $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |c_k|^2$. We note that the reproducing kernel of $H^2(\mathbf{D})$ is, according to the Cauchy formula,

$$K(q, p) = K_q(p) = \langle h_q, h_p \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{1}{1 - \overline{q}p}.$$

The reproducing function of K_q for $F \in H_K$ may be verified through

$$\langle F, K_q \rangle_{H_K} = \langle f^+, h_q \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle f, h_q \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = F(q).$$

Denote by H the circular Hilbert transform on the circle. The L^2 data f on $\partial\mathbf{D}$ has the decomposition $f = f^+ + f^-$, where $f^+(e^{it}) = (1/2)(f + iHf) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k e^{ikt}$ and $f^-(e^{it}) = (1/2)(f - iHf) = \sum_{k=-1}^{-\infty} c_k e^{ikt}$. f^{\pm} are also called the analytic signals associated with f , from the inside and the outside of the disc, respectively. There in particular holds $F(p) = Lf(p) = Lf^+(p) = \langle f^+, h_p \rangle_{L^2(\partial\mathbf{D})}$. The operator L is an isometry mapping between f^+ and F . And, all f^- , non-trivially, constitute the null space $N(L)$. As a consequence of the Plemelj Theorem the non-tangential boundary limit of F is identical with f^+ . We note that the inner product of $H^2(\mathbf{D})$ is computed through the inner product of the isometric subspace $N(L)^{\perp}$ represented by an integral over the boundary. This is consistent with the \mathcal{H} - H_K formulation. We on the other hand also note that in this Hardy space case there exists an integral with respect to a certain measure over the whole disc region that gives rise to the norm as well. It is referred to as the Littlewood-Paley Identity: for $F \in H^2(\mathbf{D})$,

$$(1.2) \quad \|F\|_{H^2(\mathbf{D})}^2 = |F(0)|^2 + 2 \int_{\mathbf{D}} |F'(z)|^2 \log \frac{1}{|z|} dA(z),$$

where $dA(z)$ is the normalized area measure of the disc. The polarization of (1.2) gives rise to the integral inner product formula of H_K in \mathbf{D} corresponding to the Littlewood-Paley formula:

$$\langle F, G \rangle_{H_K} = F(0)\overline{G}(0) + 2 \int_{\mathbf{D}} f'(z)\overline{g}'(z) \log \frac{1}{|z|} dA(z).$$

The \mathcal{H} - H_K formulation is general enough to include a wide class of linear operators including integral, ordinary and partial differential operators. While the integral operators are obviously included, we take the differential operators case as an illustrative example. In the case the underlying space \mathcal{H} itself is usually a RKHS. Let f be defined in a RKHS $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\tilde{K}}$ with the reproducing kernel \tilde{K} . Then

$$f(x) = \langle f, \tilde{K}_x \rangle_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\tilde{K}}}.$$

Let P be a multi-variable-polynomial. Then with $\partial = (\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n)$ we have

$$P(\partial)f(x) = \langle f, P(\partial)K_x \rangle_{H_K},$$

turning the differential operator to an integral operators in a suitable space. In the differential operator cases the underlying spaces \mathcal{H} are often Sobolev spaces, being RKHSs, or their subspaces. In the \mathcal{H} - H_K formulation there are three types of questions naturally arising, namely,

- (i) How to explicitly represent and numerically compute the image function $F(p) = \langle f, h_p \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$?
- (ii) Given a function $F \in R(L)$, how to represent and numerically approximate the inverse image function f that satisfies $F = Lf$ and $\|f\| = \min\{\|g\| \mid Lg = F\}$?
- (iii) Solve the Moore-Penrose psuedo-inverse (generalized inverse) problem: Assume that the L -image space H_K be contained in a Hilbert space $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ as a closed subspace. The question is that for any given function $F \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, find $f \in \mathcal{H}$ such that f is of the smallest norm in \mathcal{H} and $\|Lf - F\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}$ is minimized.

There have been studies in relation to these questions (see [21] and its enormous references). There have been ample literature on reproducing kernel methods in solving various problems of the type of linear operators in Hilbert spaces. Below we summarize

what we call as basis method. The basis method as a methodology has existed in the literature. We include here a unified and concise formulation.

In the \mathcal{H} - H_K formulation H_K is a RKHS, while the span of the kernel functions $K_q, q \in \mathbf{E}$, is a dense subset of H_K . The last assertion follows from the reproducing property of the kernels. If the parameter set \mathbf{E} is an open set, and the mapping from \mathbf{E} to the set $\{K_q \mid q \in \mathbf{E}\}$ is continuous in the topology of \mathcal{H} , then some countable subset $\{K_{q_n} \mid q_n \in \mathbf{E}, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$, can constitute a complete system of H_K . As a consequence, H_K contains an orthonormal basis B_1, B_2, \dots , that is the Gram-Schmidt (G-S) orthonormalization of the collection $\{K_{q_n} \mid q_n \in \mathbf{E}, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$, where

$$B_n = \frac{E_{q_n} - \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \langle E_{q_n}, B_l \rangle B_l}{\sqrt{1 - \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} |\langle E_{q_n}, B_l \rangle|^2}},$$

where $E_q = \frac{K_q}{\|K_q\|_{H_K}}$ denotes the normalization of $K_q, q \in \mathbf{E}$. We note that in the basis formulation the parameters $q_n, n = 1, \dots, n, \dots$, are all distinguished to each other. Accordingly, we have

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{A}_n \mathcal{B}_n = \mathcal{K}_n, \quad \text{and thus} \quad \mathcal{B}_n = \mathcal{A}_n^{-1} \mathcal{K}_n,$$

where for each n the matrix \mathcal{A}_n is of rank n and order $n \times n$ with entries $\langle K_{q_i}, B_j \rangle_{H_K}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$, and the n -basis matrices \mathcal{B}_n and \mathcal{K}_n both are of order $n \times 1$ (i.e., column matrices) with entries, respectively, B_l and $K_{q_l}, l = 1, \dots, n$. Due to the triangle matrix property $\langle K_{q_i}, B_j \rangle_{H_K} = 0$ for all cases $i < j$, the relations in (1.3) then be formally extended to the corresponding infinite matrices as

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{E} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}^{-1} \mathcal{K},$$

with suitable interpretations of the notations.

To solve the problem (i) one just expands the given $F \in H_K$ into the basis $\{B_l\}_{l=1}^\infty$, and has

$$(1.5) \quad F = F_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}^{-1} \mathcal{K},$$

where $F_{\mathcal{B}}$ is the infinite row matrix consisting of $\langle F, B_l \rangle_{H_K}$, and \mathcal{B} is the infinite column matrix consisting of B_l . Next we solve the inversion problem (ii). We note that, since L is an isometry from $N(L)^\perp$ to H_K , the inverse operator L^{-1} exists from H_K to $N(L)^\perp$, being also an isometry. We have

$$(1.6) \quad L^{-1} F = F_{\mathcal{B}} L^{-1} \mathcal{B} = F_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A}^{-1} L^{-1} \mathcal{E},$$

where $L^{-1} \mathcal{E}$ is the infinite column matrix consisting of the terms $L^{-1} E_{q_n}, n = 1, 2, \dots$. The validity of the first equal relation of (1.6) is justified by the orthonormality of $L^{-1} \mathcal{B}$ through a Cauchy sequence argument (also see the proof of Theorem 3.1 below). One can explicitly work out, for any $q \in \mathbf{E}$,

$$L^{-1} E_q = \frac{L^{-1} K_q}{\|K_q\|_{H_K}} = \frac{h_q}{\|h_q\|_{\mathcal{H}}}.$$

Denote $\mathcal{T} = L^{-1} \mathcal{E}$, consisting of the infinite column matrix with the entries $h_{q_n} / \|h_q\|_{\mathcal{H}}, n = 1, \dots$, we have

$$(1.7) \quad L^{-1} F = F_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A}^{-1} \mathcal{T}.$$

This result shows that since we know $L^{-1}K_q = h_q$, with the transfer matrix \mathcal{A} we can get $L^{-1}B_k$ computed.

Next, we solve the Moore-Penrose pseudo-inverse problem (iii). The basic assumption is that the space H_K is contained in a Hilbert space $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ as a closed subspace. Let F be the given function in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. The strategy is to expand the projection $G = P_{H_K}(F)$ in H_K , and then expand G into a \mathcal{B} -series. Noticing that $F - G$ is perpendicular with K_q , we have

$$\langle F, K_q \rangle_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} = \langle G, K_q \rangle_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} = \langle G, K_q \rangle_{H_K} = G(q).$$

Then with

$$G = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \langle G, B_l \rangle_{H_K} B_l,$$

we have

$$(1.8) \quad L^{-1}G = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle \langle F, K_{\{\cdot\}} \rangle_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}, B_l \rangle_{H_K} L^{-1}B_l.$$

In the matrix notation the above is

$$L^{-1}G = \{ \langle F, K_{\{\cdot\}} \rangle_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} \}_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A}^{-1} L^{-1} \mathcal{E} = \{ \langle F, K_{\{\cdot\}} \rangle_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} \}_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A}^{-1} \mathcal{T},$$

where $\{ \langle F, K_{\{\cdot\}} \rangle_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} \}_{\mathcal{B}}$ is the row matrix consisting of $\langle \langle F, K_{\{\cdot\}} \rangle_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}, B_l \rangle_{H_K}, l = 1, 2, \dots$. By using the notations S_1, S_2 and S_3 for the solutions of the problems (i), (ii) and (iii), from (1.5), (1.7) and (1.8), we have

Theorem 1.1. *The solutions to the Problems (i), (ii) and (iii) are respectively given by*

$$(1.9) \quad S_1 = F_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B},$$

$$(1.10) \quad S_2 = F_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A}^{-1} \mathcal{T},$$

and

$$(1.11) \quad S_3 = \{ \langle F, K_{\{\cdot\}} \rangle_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} \}_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A}^{-1} \mathcal{T}.$$

Remark 1.2. The above Problem (iii) is under the assumption that H_K is a subspace of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ that, as a matter of fact, makes a solution straightforward. The example for this is the imbedding of the L^2 -Bergman space in a complex region into the L^2 -space in the same region. The more general cases, that is not discussed in the resent paper, include H_K being a set-theoretic subset of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ with a non-isometric imbedding operator $I : \|I(f)\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} \leq \|f\|_{H_K}$. Such case is, in fact, equivalent in our setting with $\tilde{L} : H_K \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, where \tilde{L} is, in general, a bounded linear operator. Examples for this general cases include, for instance, the imbedding of a Sobolev space into another Sobolev space.

We note that the obtained solution formulas are dependent of the basis systems \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B} , the transfer matrix \mathcal{A} . They involve complicated computations. The POAFD algorithm proposed in §2 is more efficient in computation involving only a limited number of matrices of finite orders for accepted errors.

The rest of the paper introduces a non-basis method, called pre-orthogonal adaptive Fourier decomposition (POAFD). The POAFD method, having been used in signal and image analysis, and in system identification, would be, according to the author's knowledge, for the first time introduced to numerical solutions of ODEs, PDEs and integral

equations. §2 is devoted to the POAFD theory itself. In §3 we solve the three types problems by POAFD. The most recent studies show that concrete examples to get numerical solutions using POAFD are all very interesting and significant. As a unified method it is useful whenever the canonical range space H_K is well characterized, or a general kernel K_q is identified. On the other hand, the method itself is helpful to characterize the canonical range space. In the present study we only present the principle of the proposed methods.

2. POAFD: A NON-BASIS METHOD FOR SPARSE REPRESENTATION

Let H_K be the RKHS with kernel function $K(p, q) = K_q(p) = \langle h_q, h_p \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ as in the \mathcal{H} - H_K formulation. The normalized kernels $E_q = K_q / \|K_q\|_{H_K}$, $q \in \mathbf{E}$, constitute a dictionary. Below we will describe the pre-orthogonal adaptive Fourier decomposition (POAFD) algorithm that is available in all Hilbert spaces with a dictionary. Methodology-wise, POAFD belongs to the matching pursuit (or greedy algorithm) type of sparse representations ([13, 12]). It, however, did not belong to any existing matching pursuit method until it was proposed in [16]. It adopts the idea of Adaptive Fourier Decomposition (AFD) implemented to signals in the classical Hardy spaces. The predecessor AFD was initialized for positive frequency representations of analytic signals, whose algorithm involve the generalized backward shift operator and knowledge of classical Takenaka-Malmquist (TM) system generalizing the Fourier system. It well fits into the frame work of the Beurling-Lax Theorem ([18]) and, owing to which, has delicate and deep connections with complex analysis theory, and especially Möbius transform and Blaschke products. POAFD may be said to be AFD in Hilbert spaces, enhancing delicate analysis due to the fact that it reduces to AFD when underlying Hilbert spaces are replaced by the classical Hardy spaces of one and multiple variables. The AFD algorithm automatically involves multiple parameters (multiple zeros of Blaschke products). Which, in POAFD, corresponds to repeating selections of multiple kernels labelled by the same parameters in the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process, when necessary for the optimization principle. In theoretical development, like in AFD in term of the TM system involving Blaschke products, repeating selections of parameters corresponding to multiple kernels of different levels cannot be avoided. The POAFD maximal selection principle evidences that it is indeed the most effective matching pursuit process. Below we introduce POAFD. To simplify the notation we in the present section borrow the notation $\{K_q\}_{q \in \mathbf{E}}$ as a collection of functions whose span is dense in the underlying Hilbert space, and use H_K for such a Hilbert space. We will not invoke the reproducing kernel property in this section. To be able to deal with multiple kernels we assume that each K_q , $q \in \mathbf{E}$, have all orders of derivatives with respect to q .

For the simplicity, let \mathbf{E} be an open set in the complex plane. Let $\{q_1, \dots, q_n, \dots\}$ be an infinite sequence of parameters in \mathbf{E} . Denote

$$\tilde{K}_n = \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q} \right)^{(l(n)-1)} K_q \right] (q_n),$$

where $l(n)$ is the number of repeating of the parameter q_n in the n -tuple $\{q_1, \dots, q_n\}$. With a little abuse of the notation, we will also denote the just defined kernel function \tilde{K}_n as \tilde{K}_{q_n} , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, named the *multiple kernels* associated with the parameter sequence in use. The concept multiple kernel is a necessity of the pre-orthogonal maximal selection principle: Suppose we already have an $(n-1)$ -tuple $\{q_1, \dots, q_{(n-1)}\}$, with repetition or not,

corresponding to the $(n-1)$ -tuple $\{\tilde{K}_{q_1}, \dots, \tilde{K}_{q_{n-1}}\}$. By doing the G-S orthonormalization process consecutively we obtain an equivalent $(n-1)$ -orthonormal basis $\{B_1, \dots, B_{n-1}\}$. We wish to find a q_n that gives rise to a value being equal

$$\sup\{|\langle G_n, B_n^q \rangle| : q \in E, q \neq q_1, \dots, q_{n-1}\}$$

where G_n is the standard remainder

$$G_n = F - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \langle F, B_k \rangle B_k,$$

and the finiteness of the supreme is guaranteed by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, and B_n^q be such that $\{B_1, \dots, B_{n-1}, B_n^q\}$ is the G-S orthonormalization of $\{\tilde{K}_{q_1}, \dots, \tilde{K}_{q_{n-1}}, K_q\}$, given by

$$(2.12) \quad B_n^q = \frac{K_q - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \langle K_q, B_k \rangle_{H_K} B_k}{\sqrt{\|K_q\|^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |\langle K_q, B_k \rangle_{H_K}|^2}}.$$

In many cases, however, it happens that the space satisfies the so called *Boundary-Vanishing Condition (BVC)*: For any but fixed $F \in H_K$, if $p_n \in \mathbf{E}$ and $p_n \rightarrow \partial \mathbf{E}$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle F, E_{p_n} \rangle| = 0.$$

If BVC holds, a compact argument leads that there exists a point $q_n \in E$ and $q^{(l)}, l = 1, 2, \dots$, such that

$$(2.13) \quad \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} |\langle G_n, B_n^{q^{(l)}} \rangle| = \sup\{|\langle G_n, B_n^q \rangle| : q \in E, q \neq q_1, \dots, q_{n-1}\}.$$

When this is the case, the delicate thing is that the limiting point q_n may coincide with one or several preceding $q_k, k < n$. In such case it is the multiple kernel \tilde{K}_{q_n} , but not K_{q_n} , that has to be used in (2.12) in doing the G-S process with the preceding B_1, \dots, B_{n-1} ([16, 17, 5]). In each concrete context the theory involving repeating selections of parameters is non-trivial: In various Hardy spaces one enjoys the beauty of the explicit construction combining the Szegő kernel and the Blaschke products [18, 1, 2]. See [9, 10, 11, 14, 15] for concrete examples.

We note that repeating selection of parameter can be avoided in practice but cannot when doing the theoretical formulation. or very close to the following supreme value in the weak-POAFD case: By definition of supreme, for any $\rho \in (0, 1)$, a parameter $q_n \in \mathbf{E}$ is ready to be found, different from any other previous $q_k, k = 1, \dots, n-1$, to have

$$(2.14) \quad |\langle G_n, B_n^{q_n} \rangle| \geq \rho \sup\{|\langle G_n, B_n^q \rangle| : q \in \mathbf{E}, q \neq q_1, \dots, q_{n-1}\}.$$

The corresponding algorithm for consecutively finding such a sequence $\{q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is called *Weak-Pre-orthogonal Adaptive Fourier Decomposition (WPOAFD)*. With the WPOAFD algorithm one may choose all q_1, \dots, q_n being distinguished.

Merely based on the maximal selection principles (2.14) or (2.13) one can show

$$F = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle F, B_k \rangle_{H_K} B_k$$

([16, 17, 5]).

An order $O(\sqrt{n})$ convergence rate can be proved in a commonly used subspace ([16]). Precisely, for functions F in the class

$$\mathcal{M}_M = \{F \in H_K \mid \exists \{c_n\} \text{ and } \{E_{q_n}\} \text{ such that } F = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n E_{q_n} \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n| \leq M\},$$

the POAFD partial sums satisfy

$$\|F - \sum_{k=1}^n \langle F, B_k \rangle_{H_K} B_k\|_{H_K} \leq \frac{M}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

We note that POAFD has the same convergence rate as the Shannon expansion of band-limited entire functions into the sinc functions. In the POAFD case the orthonormal system $\{B_1, \dots, B_n, \dots\}$ is not necessarily a basis but a system adaptive to the given function F . For the Hardy space case, POAFD being reduced to AFD, verifies the Beurling decomposition of the Hardy space into direct sum of the forward and the backward invariant subspaces. It is just this non-basis violation that gives the capacity of optimal approximation. The algorithm code of POAFD, and some related ones as well, are available at request within the web-page <http://www.fst.umac.mo/en/staff/fsttq.html>.

AFD and POAFD have been seen to have two directions of development. One is n -best kernel expansion. That is to determine n -parameters at one time, being obviously of better optimality in sparse kernel approximation model. n -best approximation is motivated by the traditional, yet still open in its ultimate global algorithm: the problem is called the best approximation to Hardy space functions by rational functions of degree not exceeding n ([3, 4, 19]). The gradient descending method for cyclic AFD ([19]) may be adopted to give practical (not mathematical) n -best algorithms in RKHSs. The second direction of development of POAFD is related to the Blaschke-product-like functions, and interpolation type problems in general Hilbert spaces. For existing work along this direction see [1, 2]. Effective applications of adaptive Fourier decomposition methods have been found in image processing and system identification [7, 8, 6, 6, 23].

3. POAFD TYPE SPARSE SOLUTIONS FOR PROBLEMS (i), (ii) AND (iii)

POAFD gives the solution for Problem (i) in a fast converging pace. It further makes itself to be fundamental building block of the solutions for Problem (ii) and (iii). In this section we come back to the \mathcal{H} - H_K formulation.

3.1. POAFD Expansion for $F \in H_K$: the Solution of Problem (i). Subsequent to what has been studied in the last section we have

$$(3.15) \quad S_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle F, B_k \rangle_{H_K} B_k = F_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B} = F_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A}^{-1} \mathcal{K},$$

where $F_{\mathcal{B}}$ is the infinite row matrix consisting of $\langle F, B_l \rangle_{H_K}$, and \mathcal{B} is the infinite column matrix consisting of $B_l, l = 1, 2, \dots$, being section by section G-S orthonormalizations of \mathcal{K} , the latter being the infinite column matrix consisting of the POAFD-selected entries \tilde{K}_{q_n} , and \mathcal{A} is the transfer matrix of order $\infty \times \infty$ with entries $\langle \tilde{K}_{q_i}, B_j \rangle_{H_K}$ with the property $\langle \tilde{K}_{q_i}, B_j \rangle_{H_K} = 0$ for $i < j$.

3.2. The inversion Problem (ii). The \mathcal{H} - H_K formulation ensures that L is an isometry between $N(L)^\perp$ and H_K . Hence there exists the inverse operator L^{-1} that maps $F \in H_K$ to the corresponding $f^+ \in N(L)^\perp$ ie., $L^{-1}F = f^+$, and, in particular, $L^{-1}K_q = h_q, q \in E$. From this, existence and uniqueness of the solution of the inverse problem follow. Next we work out the explicit series expansion. Adaptively expand F by using POAFD:

$$(3.16) \quad F = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle F, B_k \rangle_{H_K} B_k.$$

The isometry operator maps the orthonormal system $\{B_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ to the orthonormal system $\{L^{-1}B_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$. We have

Theorem 3.1. *With the POAFD-selected parameters q_1, \dots, q_n, \dots , there holds*

$$S_2 = L^{-1}F = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle F, B_k \rangle_{H_K} L^{-1}B_k,$$

where the convergence is in the \mathcal{H} -norm sense. In the matrix notation the above solution is written

$$(3.17) \quad S_2 = F_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A}^{-1} \mathcal{K},$$

where $F_{\mathcal{B}}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}$ and \mathcal{K} are as defined in (3.16) and (3.15).

With the n -truncated matrices there holds, for $F \in \mathcal{M}_M$,

$$(3.18) \quad \|L^{-1}F - F_{\mathcal{B}_n} \mathcal{A}_n^{-1} \mathcal{K}_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \frac{M}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

The proof is routine except (3.18). For the self-containing purpose we include the proof for the main convergence part and refer the proof of (3.18) to [16].

Proof. The \mathcal{H} - H_K formulation shows that there uniquely exists a solution $f^+ = L^{-1}F$. Since L^{-1} is an isometry between H_K and $N(L)^\perp$, the system $\{L^{-1}B_k\}$ is orthonormal in the closed subspace $N(L)^\perp$. Since $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\langle F, B_k \rangle_{H_K}|^2 < \infty$, the Riesz-Fisher Theorem concludes that there exists a function g in $N(L)^\perp$ such that

$$g = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle F, B_k \rangle_{H_K} L^{-1}B_k.$$

We need to show that $f^+ = g$. It suffices to show

$$(3.19) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f^+ - \sum_{k=1}^n \langle F, B_k \rangle_{H_K} L^{-1}B_k\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = 0.$$

By using the isometric property of L^{-1} and the relation (3.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|L^{-1}F - L^{-1}(\sum_{k=1}^n \langle F, B_k \rangle_{H_K} B_k)\|^2 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|F - \sum_{k=1}^n \langle F, B_k \rangle_{H_K} B_k\|^2 \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \langle F, B_k \rangle_{H_K} B_k \right\|^2 \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |\langle F, B_k \rangle_{H_K}|^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \square

With a POAFD expansion of F we can get a series expansion with the same speed of convergence for the inverse problem $f^+ = L^{-1}F$.

To practically solve an inverse problem under the \mathcal{H} - H_K formulation the difficulty would be on finding and characterizing the related objects $N(L)$, $N(L)^\perp$ and K_q . In any case the span of the functions in $\{h_q\}_{q \in E}$ is a dense subset of $N(L)^\perp$. In a separate paper we will treat the special case where the span of $\{h_q\}_{q \in E}$ is a dense set of \mathcal{H} itself, and then the whole thing corresponds to approximation to identity.

3.3. The Moore-Penrose Pseudo-Inversion Problem (iii). Problem (iii) is under the assumption that H_K is a closed subspace of a larger Hilbert space $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. For a given element $F \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ the aim is to find

$$f \in \mathcal{H} \text{ such that } \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \min\{\|\tilde{f}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \mid \tilde{f} : \|L\tilde{f} - F\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} \text{ is minimized}\}.$$

The solution of this problem is divided into two steps.

The First Step Find the unique function $G \in H_K$ that minimizes $\|F - \tilde{G}\|$ over all $\tilde{G} \in H_K$. As given in the basis method in §1, the function G is, in fact, the projection of F into H_K , denoted $G = P_{H_K}F$. As we already deduced in §1, there holds $G(q) = \langle F, K_q \rangle_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}$.

The Second Step We seek a POAFD series expansion of $G = \langle F, K_{(\cdot)} \rangle_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}$ as

$$G = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle G, B_k \rangle_{H_K} B_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle \langle F, K_{(\cdot)} \rangle_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}, B_k \rangle_{H_K} B_k,$$

where the POAFD is with respect to the reproducing kernel of H_K , and the convergence is in the H_K norm. The principle of POAFD shows that the convergence rate is $\frac{M}{\sqrt{n}}$ if the projection function is in \mathcal{M}_M . Thus we have

Theorem 3.2. *Under the \mathcal{H} - H_K formulation and the assumption that H_K is a closed subspace of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ the solution of the Moore-Penrose pseudo-inverse for $F \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is given by the \mathcal{H} converging POAFD series*

$$S_3 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle \langle F, K_{(\cdot)} \rangle_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}, B_k \rangle_{H_K} L^{-1} B_k.$$

By denoting d_F the distance from F to H_K , there holds

$$\|F - \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \langle F, K_{(\cdot)} \rangle_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}, B_k \rangle_{H_K} L^{-1} B_k\| \leq d_F + \frac{M}{\sqrt{n}}$$

if the projection function $P_{H_K}F = \langle F, K_{(\cdot)} \rangle_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} \in \mathcal{M}_M$.

Acknowledgement The author would like to thank Prof Guo, Mao-Zheng, whose discussions improved the author's understanding on the assumption setting for Problem (iii).

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Alpay, F. Colombo, T. Qian, I. Sabadini, *Adaptive orthonormal systems for matrix-valued functions*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 2017, 145(5)2089C2106.
- [2] D. Alpay, F. Colombo, T. Qian, and I. Sabadini, *Adaptive Decomposition: The Case of the Drury-Arveson Space*, Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications, 2017, 23(6), 1426-1444.

- [3] L. Baratchart, *Existence and generic properties of L^2 approximations for linear systems*, Math. Control Inform., **3**, 89-101.
- [4] Baratchart, L., Cardelli, M., Olivi, M. , Identification and rational L^2 approximation a gradient algorithm. Automatica, 1991, 27(2), 413-417.
- [5] Q.-H. Chen, T. Qian, L.-H. Tan, *A Theory on Non-Constant Frequency Decompositions and Applications*, In: Advancements in Complex Analysis: From Theory to Practice, D. Breaz and M. Th. Rassias (Eds.), Springer, (to appear).
- [6] Y. T. Li, L. M. Zhang, T. Qian, *2D Partial Unwinding - A Novel Non-Linear Phase Decomposition of Images*, IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, 2019, DOI: 10.1109/TIP.2019.2914000.
- [7] W. Mi, T. Qian, *Frequency-domain identification: An algorithm based on an adaptive rational orthogonal system*, Automatica, 2012, 48(6), 1154-1162.
- [8] W. Mi, T. Qian, "On backward shift algorithm for estimating poles of systems," Automatica, 2014, 50(6), 1603-1610.
- [9] *Rational Approximation in Hardy Spaces on Strips*, Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations, **63** (2018), 1721-1738.
- [10] *Aveiro Method in Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Spaces under Complete Dictionary*, Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, **40** (2017), 7240-7254.
- [11] *The Fourier Type Expansions on Tubes*, submitted for publication, 2018.
- [12] E. D. Livshitz, V. N. Temlyakov, *On convergence of weak greedy algorithms*, SOUTH CAROLINA UNIV COLUMBIA DEPT OF MATHEMATICS, 2000.
- [13] S. Mallat, Z. Zhang, *Matching pursuits with time-frequency dictionaries*, IEEE Trans. Signal Process. 41, 3397C3415 (1993)
- [14] W. Qu, P. Dang, *Rational approximation in a class of weighted Hardy spaces*, Complex Analysis and Operator Theory, 13(4)(2019), 1827-1852.
- [15] W. Qu, P. Dang, *Reproducing kernel approximation in weighted Bergman spaces: Algorithm and applications*, Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, 2019, 42(12): 4292-4304.
- [16] T. Qian, *Two-Dimensional Adaptive Fourier Decomposition*, Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, 2016, 39(10) : 2431-2448.
- [17] T. Qian, *A novel Fourier theory on non-linear phase and applications*, Advances in Mathematics (China), 2018 **47**(3), pp 321-347 (in Chinese).
- [18] T. Qian, Y.B. Wang, *Adaptive Fourier series-a variation of greedy algorithm*, Advances in Computational Mathematics 34 (3) (2011) 279–293.
- [19] T. Qian, J. Z. Wang, W. X. Mai, *An Enhancement Algorithm for Cyclic Adaptive Fourier Decomposition*, Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis, available online 19 January 2019.
- [20] G. Ruckebusch, *Sur l'approximation rationnelle des filtres*, Report No 35 CMA Ecole Polytechnique, 1978.
- [21] S. Saitoh, Y. Sawano, *Theory of Reproducing Kernels and Applications*, Singapore: Springer, 2016.
- [22] J. L. Walsh, *Interpolation and approximation by rational functions in the complex domain*, American Mathematical Soc. Publication, vol 10 (1962).
- [23] X. Y. Wang, T. Qian, I. T. Leong, Y. Gao, *Two-Dimensional Frequency-Domain System Identification*, IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, 2019, DOI: 10.1109/TAC.2019.2913047.

TAO QIAN, MACAO INSTITUTE OF SYSTEMS ENGINEERING, MACAU UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, MACAU
E-mail address: tqian@must.edu.mo